

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,066	01/31/2002	Robert W. Aukerman	P 1028.11004	2497
7.	590 03/10/2003			•
Garth Janke BIRDWELL, JANKE & DURANDO, PLC Suite 1400			EXAMINER	
			LIANG, LEONARD S	
1100 SW Sixth Avenue Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2052	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/066,066	AUKERMAN, ROBERT W.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonard S Liang	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	SS
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to be placed the application of the application	to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approporationally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension oriate extension ffice action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	,
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	·		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:	. , ,,_		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant raises the new issue of a controller for controlling the valves based on the amount of ink deposited during printing, as well as the new issue of a plurality of plenums associated with the print head. Also, in regards to claims 4-5, and 7, the applicant raises the issue that "Gershony is directed to ink printing and not drying and offers no motivation to modify the drying system of Mudry." These issues require further consideration and a new search.

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800